Priority
Send ✓
Enter
Closed
JS-5/JS-6
JS-2/JS-3
Scan Only

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. CV 06-1093 FMC(JCx) Date August 20, 2007

Title Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc. et al. v. Justin Bunnell, et al.

Present: The Honorable Jacqueline Chooljian, United States Magistrate Judge

Nancy Hackney	none	none
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder	Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:
none	none

Proceedings: **ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO ADMONISH DEFENDANTS' COUNSEL (DOCKET NO. 86)**

Pending before the court is Plaintiffs' Motion to Admonish Defendants' Counsel ("plaintiffs' motion") which the court has taken under submission. To the extent plaintiffs' motion seeks relief based upon the alleged misconduct of defendants/defendants' counsel, the court has since dealt with alleged misconduct to the extent it believes appropriate, in the context of particular disputes presented to the court for resolution. To the extent plaintiffs' motion seeks relief relative to defendants' second set of document requests, the court has already dealt with such issues in a separate order. See February 13, 2007 Order (Docket No. 85). To the extent plaintiffs' motion seeks appointment of a special master, the parties have advised the court that they no longer seek appointment of a special master for all discovery purposes, but that a special master may still be appropriate for depositions. The court is not inclined to recommend that the assigned District Judge appoint a special master for such limited purpose at this stage of the litigation. In sum, given events which have transpired since the filing of plaintiffs' motion, such motion is, for all practical purposes moot, and is denied as such.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Deputy Clerk nhac

DOCKETED ON CM
AUG 21 2007
BY 057

250