

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. 2:06-cv-01093-FMC-JCx Date October 31, 2007

Title Columbia Pictures Industries Inc et al v. Justin Bunnell et al

Present: The Honorable FLORENCE-MARIE COOPER

Alicia Mamer

Not present

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

Not present

Not present

Proceedings: ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR REVIEW (In Chambers)

The Court is in receipt of the Motion for Review of Order Re: August 8, 2007 Order (docket no. 262), filed by Defendants on August 22, 2007. Defendants' Motion asks the Court to review the Magistrate Judge's August 8, 2007 Order, which responded to Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application to enforce the Magistrate Judge's May 3, 2007 Order. The Court has reviewed the moving, opposition, and reply documents submitted in connection with this motion and deems it appropriate for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 78; Local Rule 7-15.

A district court will not modify or set aside a magistrate judge's order unless it is "found to be clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). To the extent that Defendants' Motion objects to the substance of the May 3, 2007 Order, it is untimely. Local Rule 72-2.1. Moreover, the supplemental privilege log submitted on May 24, 2007 was untimely, in violation of the Magistrate Judge's May 3, 2007 Order. Defendants had been warned that failure to timely submit a privilege log would lead to the sanction of waiver; they nonetheless submitted the privilege log ten days late. The Court strongly cautions Defendants that deadlines imposed by the Court must be strictly adhered to; late filings are impermissible except with leave of the Court. Defendants ought not to feign surprise when the Court imposes sanctions about which parties have been warned.

Accordingly, Defendants' Motion is DENIED.

Initials of Preparer AM : N/A

#321