

Columbia Pictures Industries Inc v. Bunnell

~~CHAMBERS COPY~~

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILED
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JAN 22 2007
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY [Signature] DEPUTY

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

To: U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge Chooljian

From: Terry R. Baker, Deputy Clerk Date Received: 01/18/2007

Case No.: 06-1093 FMC (JCX) Case Title: Columbia Pictures, et al - v - Bunnell

Document Entitled: Notice of Motion to Compel; Joint stipulation; et al supplemental declaration of Duane C. Pozza; proposed order

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), it was noted that the following discrepancies exist:

- Local Rule 11-3.1 Document not legible
- Local Rule 11-3.8 Lacking name, address, phone and facsimile numbers
- Local Rule 11-4.1 No copy provided for judge
- Local Rule 19-1 Complaint/Petition includes more than ten (10) Does or fictitiously named parties
- Local Rule 15-1 Proposed amended pleading not under separate cover
- Local Rule 11-6 Memorandum/brief exceeds 25 pages
- Local Rule 11-8 Memorandum/brief exceeding 10 pages shall contain table of contents
- Local Rule 7.1-1 No Certification of Interested Parties and/or no copies
- Local Rule 6.1 Written notice of motion lacking or timeliness of notice incorrect
- Local Rule 56-1 Statement of uncontroverted facts and/or proposed judgment lacking
- Local Rule 56-2 Statement of genuine issues of material fact lacking
- Local Rule 7-19.1 Notice to other parties of ex parte application lacking
- Local Rule 16-6 Pretrial conference order not signed by all counsel
- FRCvP Rule 5(d) No proof of service attached to document(s)
- Other: Joint stipulation is not signed by

Priority
Send
Enter
Closed
JS-5/JS-6
JS-2/JS-3
Scan Only

Note: Please refer to the court's Internet website at www.cacd.uscourts.gov for local rules and applicable forms.

The attorneys for the defendants:

ORDER OF THE JUDGE/MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- The document is to be filed and processed. The filing date is ORDERED to be the date the document was stamped "received but not filed" with the Clerk. Counsel* is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83-7.

1/22/07
Date

[Signature]
U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge
JACQUELINE CHOOLJIAN

- The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED, and is ORDERED returned to *counsel. *Counsel shall immediately notify, in writing, all parties previously served with the attached documents that said documents have not been filed with the Court.

Date

U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge
DOCKETED ON CIV
JAN 23 2007
BY [Signature] 007

*The term "counsel" as used herein also includes any pro se party. See Local Rule 1-3.