

1 KAREN JOHNSON-MCKEWAN (STATE BAR NO. 121570)
 2 NANCY E. HARRIS (STATE BAR NO. 197042)
 3 NIKKA N. RAPKIN (STATE BAR NO. 244207)
 4 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 5 The Orrick Building
 6 405 Howard Street
 7 San Francisco, CA 94105-2669
 8 Telephone: (415) 773-5700
 9 Facsimile: (415) 773-5759
 10 kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com

11 Attorneys for Defendant
 12 GOOGLE INC.

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 15 SAN JOSE DIVISION

16 JENNA GODDARD, on her own behalf and
 17 on behalf of all others similarly situated,

18 Plaintiff,

19 v.

20 GOOGLE INC., a Delaware corporation,

21 Defendant.

CASE NO. 08-02738(JF)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING
 TIME FOR DEFENDANT GOOGLE
 INC. TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S
 MOTION TO REMAND PURSUANT
 TO LOCAL RULE 6-2**

22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
 DEFENDANT GOOGLE TO RESPOND TO
 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND

ORDER

1
2 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Jenna Goddard (“Plaintiff”) filed this action in the Superior Court of
3 the State of California, County of Santa Clara, on April 30, 2008;

4 WHEREAS, Defendant Google Inc. (“Defendant”) removed the above-captioned action to
5 this Court on May 30, 2008, and Plaintiff filed a motion to remand the above-captioned action to
6 its state court of origin on June 30, 2008, setting a hearing date of September 5, 2008, for the
7 motion;

8 WHEREAS, Defendant’s response to Plaintiff’s motion to remand is due August 15,
9 2008;

10 WHEREAS, due to schedule conflicts with Defendant’s counsels’ preexisting vacation
11 plans, the parties wish to continue the hearing date for Plaintiff’s motion to remand by two (2)
12 weeks to September 19, 2008, making Defendant’s opposition due August 29, 2008, and
13 Plaintiff’s reply due September 5, 2008;

14 WHEREAS, this Court has granted the Parties’ prior request to continue the date for
15 Defendant to respond to Plaintiff’s complaint until 21 days after Plaintiff’s motion to remand is
16 decided, and this is the Parties’ first request for an extension with regard to the motion to remand.

17 WHEREAS the first Case Management Conference in this matter is scheduled for
18 September 19, 2008, at 10:30 a.m., before the Honorable Judge Jeremy Fogel, and there are no
19 other dates on calendar in the schedule for this case;

20 WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule 6-2 the Parties respectfully request the Court to
21 continue the hearing date for Plaintiff’s motion to remand until September 19, 2008;

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

2 1. The hearing date for Plaintiff's motion to remand the above-captioned litigation shall
3 be continued to September 19, 2008. Defendant's brief in opposition shall be due August 29,
4 2008, and Plaintiff's reply shall be due September 5, 2008.

5
6 Dated:

7 _____
8 The Honorable Judge Jeremy Fogel
9 United States District Court Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28